

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/649,679	Applicant(s) TANAKA ET AL.	
	Examiner Janis L. Dote	Art Unit 1756	

All Participants:

(1) Janis L. Dote.

(2) Mr. Peter Saxon (Reg. No. 24,947).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 May 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

5, 24, 25, and 30

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Janis L. Dote
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Informed Mr. Saxon that the amendments filed on May 9, 2005, to claims 5, 25, and 30 did not comply with 37 CFR 1.121 for the reasons discussed in the attached office action, paragraph 3. The examiner also informed Mr. Saxon of the typographic error in claim 24. The examiner informed Mr. Saxon that the typographic error in claim 24 and the non-compliance in claims 5, 25, and 30 have been corrected by examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance..